Contribution ID: bbf7bc86-1e86-4f32-b755-32a95051fd44

Date: 20/12/2021 10:38:16

# Open Public Consultation on the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation

### Introduction

On 25 November 2020, the Commission published a Communication on a Pharmaceutical Strategy for Europe.

The Pharmaceutical Strategy identifies flagship initiatives and other actions to ensure the delivery of tangible results. As part of the implementation of the strategy, the Commission is evaluating the general pharmaceutical legislation<sup>1</sup> and assessing the impacts of possible changes in the legislation as described in the relevant inception impact assessment.

This public consultation aims to collect views of stakeholders and the general public in order to support the evaluation of the existing general pharmaceutical legislation and the impact assessment of its revision. It builds further on the public consultation<sup>2</sup> conducted for the preparation of the pharmaceutical strategy for Europe. The replies to that consultation will be taken into account for the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation. The present questionnaire should be seen as a continuation of that process.

In parallel, the legislation for medicines for rare diseases and children is being <u>revised</u> as well. Separate consultation activities have been carried out for that <u>revision</u>.

This questionnaire is available in all EU languages and you can reply in any EU language. You can pause any time and continue later. You can download your contribution once you have submitted your answers.

A summary on the outcome of the public consultation will be published by the Commission services on the 'Have your say' portal.

We thank you for your participation.

[1] Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use (OJ L 311, 28.11.2001, p. 67)

Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 laying down Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency (OJ L 136, 30.4.2004, p. 1)

[2] A report analysing the results of the pharmaceutical strategy consultation was published in November 2020.

## About you

0	Czech
0	Danish
	Dutch
	English
	Estonian
	Finnish
	French
	German
	Greek
	Hungarian
	Irish
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
0	Slovak
0	Slovenian
0	Spanish
0	Swedish
*I am	giving my contribution as
	Academic/research institution
0	Business association
	Company/business organisation
	Consumer organisation
	EU citizen
0	Environmental organisation
0	Non-EU citizen

\*Language of my contribution

Bulgarian

Croatian

Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*Which stakeholder group do you represent?
Individual member of the public
Patient or consumer organisation
Healthcare professional
Healthcare provider organisation (incl. hospitals, pharmacies)
Healthcare payer
Centralised health goods procurement body
Health technology assessment body
Academic researcher
Research funder
Learned society
European research infrastructure
Other scientific organisation
Environmental organisation
Pharmaceuticals industry
Chemicals industry
Pharmaceuticals traders/wholesalers
Medical devices industry
Public authority (e.g. national ministries of health, medicines agencies, pricing
and reimbursement authorities)
EU regulatory partner / EU institution
Non-EU regulator / non-EU body
Other (Please specify)
* First name
Jan
*Surname
De Belie

*Email (this won't be p	oublished)		
j.de-belie@pgeu.eu			
*Organisation name			
255 character(s) maximum			
Pharmaceutical Group of	of the European Union (PGE	EU)	
*Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 en	nployees)		
Small (10 to 49	employees)		
Medium (50 to 2	249 employees)		
Large (250 or m	nore)		
Transparency registe  255 character(s) maximum  Check if your organisation is influence EU decision-makin	on the transparency registe	<u>er</u> . It's a voluntary database	for organisations seeking to
00086317186-42			
*Country of origin Please add your country of o		sation.  Libya	Saint Martin
Aland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
/ Harra Total Ide	Dominioa .	Licomoron	Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samo	oa <sup>©</sup> Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Gui	nea <sup>©</sup> Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles

<ul><li>Argentina</li><li>Armenia</li><li>Aruba</li><li>Australia</li><li>Austria</li><li>Azerbaijan</li><li>Bahamas</li><li>Bahrain</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Ethiopia</li> <li>Falkland Islands</li> <li>Faroe Islands</li> <li>Fiji</li> <li>Finland</li> <li>France</li> <li>French Guiana</li> <li>French Polynesia</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Malta</li> <li>Marshall Islands</li> <li>Martinique</li> <li>Mauritania</li> <li>Mauritius</li> <li>Mayotte</li> <li>Mexico</li> <li>Micronesia</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sierra Leone</li> <li>Singapore</li> <li>Sint Maarten</li> <li>Slovakia</li> <li>Slovenia</li> <li>Solomon Islands</li> <li>Somalia</li> <li>South Africa</li> </ul>
Bangladesh	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	Moldova	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	<ul><li>Svalbard and</li><li>Jan Mayen</li></ul>
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
<ul><li>Bonaire Saint</li><li>Eustatius and</li><li>Saba</li></ul>	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian Ocean Territory	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
<ul><li>British Virgin</li><li>Islands</li></ul>	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste

	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and		Niue	0	Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
0	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras		Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
	Burundi		Hong Kong		Northern		Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia	0	Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
	Cameroon		Iceland		North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
	Canada		India		Norway	0	Turkey
	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman		Turkmenistan
	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African	0	Iraq		Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Republic						
	Chad		Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
0	Chile	0	Isle of Man		Panama	0	Ukraine
0	China	0	Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
0	Christmas Island	0	Italy		Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
0	Clipperton	0	Jamaica		Peru	0	United States
	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines	0	United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
	Colombia	0	Jersey		Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
	Comoros	0	Jordan		Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
	Congo		Kazakhstan		Portugal		Uzbekistan
	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica	0	Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire	0	Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam
	Cuba		Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
							Futuna
	Curaçao		Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	0	Latvia		Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen

Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena Zambia
		Ascension and
		Tristan da Cunha
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis
Congo		
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

### \*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

## Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

## Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

## Looking back

As mentioned in the Inception Impact assessment, the revision aims to tackle the following problems:

- Unmet medical needs and market failures for medicines other than medicines for rare diseases and children;
- Unequal access to available and affordable medicines for patients across the EU;
- The current legislative framework may not be fully equipped to respond quickly to innovation;
- Inefficiency and administrative burden of regulatory procedures;
- Vulnerability of supply of medicines, shortages of medicines;
- Environmental challenges and sustainability;
- Any other issues, which might emerge from the evaluation.

## Q1 In your opinion, are there any other issues that should be addressed in this revision?

800 character(s) maximum

In relation to amending Regulation (EC) No 726/2004, we believe that Article 65 (1) addressing the composition of the EMA Management Board should be adjusted.

Today this specifies that "the Management Board shall consist of one representative of each Member State, two representatives of the Commission and two representatives of the European Parliament. In addition, two representatives of patients' organisations, one representative of doctors' organisations and one representative of veterinarians' organisations (...)".

We strongly believe that "doctors' organisations" should be rephrased to "healthcare professionals' organisations" to encompass also non-doctor organisations such as e.g. pharmacists' organisations.

### Q2 How has the legislation performed in terms of the following elements?

	Very well	Well	Moderately	Poorly	Very poorly	Don' t know
Fulfilling its public health protection mission for patients and society.	0	0	•	0	0	0
Promoting the development of new medicines, especially for unmet medical needs.	0	0	•	0	0	0
3. Enabling timely development of medicines at all times, including during crises.	0	•	0	0	0	0
Enabling timely authorisation, including scientific evaluation, of medicines in normal times.	0	•	0	0	0	0
5. Enabling timely authorisation, including scientific evaluation during crises.	0	•	0	0	0	0
6. Adapting efficiently and effectively to technological and scientific advancements and innovation.	0	•	0	0	0	0

7. Ensuring medicines are of high quality, safe and effective.	0	•	0	0	0	0
8. Addressing the competitive functioning of the market to support affordability.	0	0	•	0	0	0
<ol> <li>Ensuring the availability of generic<sup>3</sup> and biosimilar<sup>4</sup> medicines.</li> </ol>						
[3] "Generic" is a copy of a medicine based on simple or chemical molecules. [4] "Biosimilar" is a copy of a medicine based on biological molecules.	•	•	©	0	0	•
10. Ensuring that new medicines are timely available to patients in all EU countries.	•	0	0	0	•	•
11. Ensuring that medicines stay on the market at all times and that there are no shortages.	0	0	0	•	0	0
12. Ensuring that authorised medicines are manufactured, used and disposed of in an environmentally friendly manner.	0	0	•	0	0	0
13. Ensuring that the EU system for development, authorisation and monitoring of medicines, including its rules and procedures, is understandable and easy to navigate.	0	0	•	0	0	0
14. Attracting global investment for medicine innovation in the EU.	0	0	0	0	0	0

Is there any other aspect you would like to mention, including positive or unintended effects of the legislation, or would you like to justify your replies?

800 character(s) maximum

The pandemic has highlighted the need for sound pharmaceutical legislation. The joint work among European stakeholders in the authorisation and marketing of Covid-19 vaccines has shown that more and better adaptation to current needs and future challenges is possible.

Although the authorisation process has worked satisfactorily in most cases, it is essential to ensure the supply, not only of vaccines but of all medicines and medical devices, all along the supply chain. However, the current Directive still does not adequately prevent unequal access to medicines for patients living in smaller markets nor does it adequately protect for a disproportionate negative impact of on some countries during health crises and other additional extraordinary circumstances such as Brexit.

## Looking forward

This section reflects on possible solutions to address the problems identified in the inception impact assessment mentioned in the previous section.

Your contribution will help us in defining the way forward.

#### **UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS**

One of the aims of the strategy is to stimulate innovation and breakthrough therapies, especially in areas of 'unmet medical need'.

Regulators, health technology assessment experts and representatives of bodies responsible for reimbursing or paying for medicines ('payers') are discussing a definition or a set of principles for 'unmet medical needs' in order to achieve the objectives of the general pharmaceutical legislation. The discussions reveal different perceptions of what is an 'unmet medical need'. Convergence on this key concept should facilitate the design of clinical trials, generation of evidence and its assessment, and the quick availability on the market of these products and ensuring that innovation matches the needs of patients and of the national health systems.

The purpose of this question is to identify elements that are important in defining what is unmet medical need and in which areas of unmet medical need innovation should be stimulated.

[5] Please note that a similar discussion is taking place in the context of medicines for rare diseases and for children. The concept of 'unmet needs' in the context of rare diseases and children might be slightly differentiated compared to 'unmet needs' in the context of the general pharmaceutical legislation.

# Q3 How important are the following elements for defining 'unmet medical needs'?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. Seriousness of a disease.	0	•	0	0	0	0
Absence of satisfactory treatment authorised in the EU.	•	0	0	0	0	0
3. A new medicine has major therapeutic advantage over existing treatment(s).	0	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of access for patients across the EU to an authorised treatment.	•	0	0	0	0	0
5. Other (please specify).	0	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

In addition to the actions proposed, it is also important to increase patients access to specialty medicines at national level. In many countries innovative medicines and high price medicines are available only through hospitals which leads to inequalities in patients access. The dispensing of this medicines through community pharmacies, where possible, increases equity of access, reduces costs to patients and can improve monitoring and adherence.

### **INCENTIVES FOR INNOVATION**

The general pharmaceutical legislation guarantees the pharmaceutical innovator, typically a company, regulatory data and market protection for its new medicinal product. This data protection makes sure that another pharmaceutical company cannot re-use the proprietary data of the innovator for 8 years. Market protection makes sure that a generic or biosimilar medicine cannot be marketed until 10 years after authorisation. This dual protection shields a pharmaceutical innovator from generics or biosimilars on the market for 10 years. This protection is part of the EU system of incentives for innovation. The EU regime of intellectual property protection provides an additional protection coverage but is beyond the scope of this questionnaire and the revision of the general pharmaceutical legislation.

## Q4 What do you think of the following measures to support innovation, including for 'unmet medical needs'?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
The current data and market protection periods for innovative medicines: 10 years of market protection, and 8 years of data protection.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Provide different data and market protection periods depending on the purpose of the medicine (i.e. longer period of protection in areas of unmet medical need).	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Reduce the data and market protection periods to allow earlier access for generic and biosimilar medicines to the market.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Introduce new types of incentives <sup>6</sup> on top of the existing data and market protection for medicines addressing an 'unmet medical need'.						
[6] Examples of new incentives are a transferable exclusivity voucher or a priority review voucher. A transferable exclusivity voucher would give the legal right to extend the protection time period of any other patented medicinal product, in exchange for the successful regulatory approval of a specified medicine for unmet medical need (e.g. an antibiotic). The voucher would be transferable or saleable, and may impact the turnover and profitability levels of other products in a developer's portfolio. A priority review voucher gives priority to the assessment of the application of the medicine in question or another medicine in the applicant's portfolio.	©	•	©	©	©	0
5. Early scientific support and faster review/authorisation of a new promising medicine for an unmet medical need.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Public listing of priority therapeutic areas of high unmet medical need to support product development by providing incentives.	0	•	0	0	0	0
7. Require transparent reporting from companies about their research and development costs and public funding as a condition to obtain certain incentives.	0	•	0	0	0	0
8. Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

In relation to the above measures, PGEU notes that launch prices of new medicines increased in some therapeutic categories, sometimes without commensurate health benefits.

As a result, EU Member States adopted pure cost-containment policies which negatively affected availability of medicines and shifted the financial burden of the costs of medicines on patients. In order to design appropriate incentives for innovation, EU co-operation should be encouraged on tools evaluating cost-effectiveness and added therapeutic value of new therapies. This includes Health Technology Assessment and cross-country efforts to define transparent criteria for pricing policies or to optimize the use of managed entry agreements.

### ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE<sup>7</sup>

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is the ability of microorganisms (such as bacteria, viruses, fungi or parasites) to survive and grow over time and no longer respond to medicines making infections harder to treat and increasing the risk of infections, severe illness and death. Antimicrobials include antibiotics, which are substances that fight bacterial infections. Overprescribing, overuse and inappropriate use of antibiotics are key drivers of AMR, leading to harmful health outcomes. The question below is intended to collect opinions on both the incentives for the development of new antimicrobials as well as possible option on their prudent use.

[7] amr\_2017\_action-plan.pdf (europa.eu).

# Q5 Should there be specific regulatory incentives for the development of new antimicrobials while taking into account the need for more prudent use and if so what should they be?

1000 character(s) maximum

Yes, innovative incentive/business models for new antimicrobials should be constructed which could stimulate the development of new antibiotics whilst guaranteeing continued access to existing antimicrobial therapies.

In addition, we would like to stress that the promotion of the rational and responsible use of antimicrobials should be at the core of any policy aiming to combat AMR. At national level, this can be implemented by expanding and rewarding community pharmacy services aiming at integrated infection prevention and health promotion, responsible common ailment management, timely point-of-care testing, referral and the rational prescribing, use and disposal of antibiotics.

FUTURE PROOFING: ADAPTED, AGILE AND PREDICTABLE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR NOVEL PRODUCTS

Novel products and innovative solutions continue to challenge the understanding of a "medicinal product" with low volume, and cutting-edge products (e.g. medicines combined with self-learning artificial intelligence) becoming a new reality. 'Bedside' manufacture of more individualised medicines changes the way medicines are produced. There are classification and interplay challenges with other medical products, such as medical devices and substances of human origin, or related to the combination of clinical trials with in vitro diagnostics/medical devices and medicines. In addition, certain cell-based advanced therapy medicines<sup>8</sup> are offered in hospital settings and are exempted from aspects of the pharmaceutical legislation. These developments offer possibilities for novel promising treatments and new ways of authorising and monitoring medicines but they are also testing the limits of the current regulatory system. They need to be addressed to unfold their potential while safeguarding the principles of high quality, safety and efficacy of medicines.

Digital transformation is affecting the discovery, development, manufacture, evidence generation, assessment, supply and use of medicines. Medicines, medical technologies and digital health are becoming increasingly integral to overarching therapeutic options. These include systems based on artificial intelligence for prevention, diagnosis, better treatment, therapeutic monitoring and data for personalised medicines and other healthcare applications.

[8] Advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) are medicines for human use that are based on genes, tissues or cells. They offer ground-breaking new opportunities for the treatment of disease and injury.

# Q6 How would you assess the following measures to create an adapted, agile and predictable regulatory framework for novel products?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly	Not important	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Create a central mechanism in close coordination with other concerned authorities (e.g. those responsible for medical devices, substances of human origins) to provide non-binding scientific advice on whether a treatment/product should be classified as a medicine or not.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Make use of the possibility for 'regulatory sandboxes' in legislation to pilot certain categories of novel products/technologies.						
[9] Some very innovative solutions fail to see the light of day because of regulations which might be outdated or poorly adapted for fast evolving technologies. One way to address this is through regulatory sandboxes. This enables innovative solutions not already foreseen in regulations or guidelines to be live-tested with supervisors and regulators, provided that the appropriate conditions are in place, for example to ensure equal treatment. Regulatory sandboxes provide up-to-date information to regulators and supervisors on, and experience with, new technology, while enabling policy experimentation. See COM(2020) 103 final.	•	•	•	•	•	•
4. Create adaptive regulatory frameworks (e.g. adapted requirements for authorisation and monitoring with possibility to adjust easily to scientific progress) for certain novel types of medicines or low volume products (hospital preparations) in coherence with other legal frameworks (e.g. medical devices and substances of human origin <sup>10</sup> ) and respecting the principles of quality, safety and efficacy.	©	•	©	•	•	0
[10] Substances that are donated by humans such as blood, plasma, cells, gametes, tissues and organs and are applied as therapy. Some substances of human origin can also become starting materials to manufacture medicines.						

5. Introduce an EU-wide centrally coordinated process for early dialogue and more coordination among clinical trial, marketing authorisation, health technology assessment bodies, pricing and reimbursement authorities and payers for integrated medicines development and post-authorisation monitoring.	•	0	0	0	0	0
6. Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

We are highly supportive of the proposal to introduce an EU-wide centrally coordinated process for early dialogue and more coordination among key actors for integrated medicines development and post-authorisation. Within this process, we believe that pharmacy organisations should be included since pharmacists are key sources of, at the moment underused, real-world data which contribute to evidence-based regulatory decision-making, especially in post-marketing authorization, and public health policy.

Real-world evidence could be of utmost importance to inform safety and effectiveness estimates of medicines in clinical practice since it may provide a more generalizable picture of treatment effects in the real world.

# Q7. Do you think that certain definitions and the scope of the legislation need to be updated to reflect scientific and technological developments in the sector (e.g. personalised medicines, bedside manufacturing, artificial intelligence) and if so what would you propose to change?

1000 character(s) maximum

Yes, without prejudice to the fact that Member States competence to decide on the level of protection they wish to grant to public health and how that level should be achieved must be preserved at all times. Besides the examples mentioned we believe that also a definition for real-world data, real-world evidence and shortages of medicines & medical devices should be included.

In general, we believe that such definitions should be broad/encompassing enough to include all relevant added value examples of real-world data and evidence, including generated through community pharmacy data, and that the definition of shortages should capture all types of unavailabilities that have a negative impact on patients and healthcare professionals.

### REWARDS AND OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO IMPROVED ACCESS TO MEDICINES

Some medicines and therapies do not always reach patients in all EU countries, so patients in the EU still have different levels of access to medicines, depending on where they live. Even if a medicine received an EU-wide authorisation, companies are currently not obliged to market it in all EU countries. A company may decide not to market its medicines in, or decide to withdraw them from, one or more countries. This can be due to various factors, such as national pricing and reimbursement policies, size of the population and level of wealth, the organisation of health systems and national administrative procedures. Smaller markets in particular face challenges for availability and supplies of medicines.

# Q8 How would you assess the following measures to improve patient access to medicines across the EU?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules     which provide no obligation     to market medicines in all     EU countries.	0	0	0	0	•	0
2. Require companies to notify their market launch intentions to regulators at the time of the authorisation of the medicine.	0	0	•	0	0	0
3. Introduce incentives for swift market launch across the EU.	0	0	•	0	©	0
4. Allow early introduction of generics in case of delayed market launch of medicines across the EU, while respecting intellectual property rights.	0	•	0	0	©	•
5. Require companies to place – within a certain period after authorisation – a medicine on the market of the majority of Member States, that includes small markets.	•	•	•	•	©	•
6. Require companies withdrawing a medicine from the market to offer another company to taker over the medicine.	0	•	0	•	©	•
7. Introduce rules on electronic product information to replace the paper package leaflet.	0	0	0	0	•	0
8. Introduce harmonised rules for multi-country packages of medicines.	0	0	0	0	•	0
9. Other (please specify).	0	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

PGEU welcomes the use of ePi as a complementary tool to increase citizens' access to objective and neutral information on pharmaceuticals at home. However, we strongly oppose the replacement of the paper leaflet by digital versions as we believe that product information should always accompany each pack and be easily accessible to all patients and carers – also those with limited digital skills & limited access to digital tools & internet such as elderly patients and people with limited financial resources – at any point in time without the need for digital technology. If pharmacies would be requested to print leaflets for patients who prefer and need them, which we anticipate would be a significant percentage of patients, it would create an unworkable disruption of the pharmacy workflow.

## ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVE FUNCTIONING OF THE MARKET TO ENSURE AFFORDABLE MEDICINES

The affordability of medicines has implications for both public and household finances. It poses a growing challenge to pay for medicines in the majority of Member States. Often, innovative medicines have higher prices, while there are growing concerns among stakeholders about the real-life effectiveness of some medicines and related overall costs. This puts the budgetary sustainability of health systems at risk, and reduces the possibilities for patients to have access to these medicines. Generics and biosimilars <sup>11</sup> of medicines which no longer benefit from intellectual property protection (off-patent medicines) may provide accessible and affordable treatments. They also increase the availability of alternative treatment options for patients. They may also increase competition between available medicines. However, experience shows that there are still barriers for medicines entering the EU market, including for generics or biosimilars.

[11] "Generics" are copies of medicines based on simple or chemical molecules; "biosimilars" are copies of medicines based on biological molecules.

# Q9 In your view, to what extent would the following measures support access to affordable medicines?

	To a great extent	To a certain extent	No change	Very little	Not at all	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Stimulate earlier market entry through a broader possibility to authorise generics /biosimilars despite ongoing patent protection ('Bolar exemption') <sup>12</sup> .	•	•	•	©	©	©
[12] The Bolar exemption allows companies to conduct research on patent protected medicines under the condition that it is with a view to apply for a marketing authorisation for a generic.						

3. Create a specific (regulatory) incentive for a limited number of biosimilars that come to the market first.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Introduce an EU-wide scientific recommendation on interchangeability for specific biosimilars.	0	•	0	0	0	0
5. Introduce other, non-legislative measures, such as joint procurement to reinforce competition while addressing security of supply and environmental challenges.	•	•	•	•	0	0
6. Other (please specify).	0	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

We believe that promoting better coordination among EU countries to ensure that pricing decisions taken by one EU country do not lead to negative impacts on patient access in another country is an appropriate way the EU can help improve affordability of medicines for health systems. We also support EU co-operation on issues related to evaluating cost-effectiveness & measuring added therapeutic value as well. Furthermore, PGEU considers that the regulation of medicines prices should be operated through a mix of policy instruments & not only leveraging on a single pricing policy tool. It is also desirable for MS to carry out periodic review of P&R policies, conducting adequate policy evaluation & taking into account any changing conditions in the pharmaceutical market and in the population.

### **REPURPOSING OF MEDICINES**

Repurposing is the process of identifying a new use for an established medicine in a disease or condition other than that it is currently authorised for. Repurposing of older (off-patent) medicines constitutes an emerging and dynamic field of medicines development, often led by academic units and medical research charities, with the potential for faster development times and reduced costs as well as lower risks for companies. This is because repurposing commonly starts with substances that have already been tested and many have demonstrated an acceptable level of safety and tolerability. The objective is to identify the opportunities and address any regulatory burdens to facilitate repurposing of off-patent, affordable medicines.

Q10 What measures could stimulate the repurposing of off-patent medicines and provide additional uses of the medicine against new diseases and medical conditions? Please justify your answers.

1000 character(s) maximum

Real-world evidence, including based on real-world data generated in community pharmacies, could be of utmost importance to inform safety and effectiveness estimates of medicines in clinical practice since it may provide a more generalizable picture of treatment effects in the real world.

RWE aims to cover not only the safety and effectiveness profile of medicines used under the conditions for which its marketing authorization was granted, but also to characterize its off-label use. This leads to a more comprehensive knowledge about the safety and effectiveness profile of medicines, but also about the (heterogeneous) population using that medications, which should be considered in the risk-benefit analysis and reassessment of the drug.

### **SECURITY OF SUPPLY OF MEDICINES**

Shortages of medicines and the vulnerabilities in the pharmaceutical supply chain continue to be concerns in the EU. Shortages of medicines can have serious impacts on patient care. Under the current pharmaceutical legislation, pharmaceutical companies and wholesalers must, within the limits of their responsibilities, ensure a continued supply of medicines once they are placed on the market in the EU. Companies must also notify national authorities at least two months before an expected shortage or planned market withdrawal.

## Q11 What is your view on the following measures to ensure security of supply of medicines in the EU?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
1. Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	•	0
Earlier reporting of shortages and market withdrawals to national authorities in a common format.	•	0	0	0	0	0
3. Companies to have shortage prevention plans.	•	0	0	0	0	0
4. Companies to have safety stocks.	0	•	0	0	0	0
5. Monitoring of supply and demand at national level.	0	•	0	0	0	0
6. Introduce a shortage monitoring system at EU level.	•	0	0	0	0	0
7. Require companies to diversify their supply chains, in particular the number of key suppliers of medicines and components.	•	0	0	0	0	0
8. Companies to provide more information to regulators on their supply chain.	0	•	0	0	0	0
9. Introduce penalties for non-compliance by companies with proposed new obligations.	0	•	0	0	0	0
10. EU coordination to help identify areas where consolidation in the supply chain has reduced the number of suppliers.	0	•	0	0	0	0
11. Other (please specify)	•	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

Other: Promote joint procurement for innovative & high-cost medicines & cross-country collaborations on pricing and reimbursement. The EU also needs to ensure fair & effective redistribution mechanisms for medicines available on the European market & we welcome establishing further EU guidance to Member States on the import and export of medicines across borders to ensure that as a response to occurring medicine shortages the flow of medicines and medical devices within the EU is better planned & coordinated. Lastly, we believe that pharmaceutical compounding by pharmacists should be further promoted as a solution for unmet medical needs of small populations, where appropriate, as well as shortages of medicinal products for which there are no suitable alternatives available on the markets.

### **QUALITY AND MANUFACTURING**

Medicines manufactured for the EU market must comply with the principles and guidelines of good manufacturing practice (GMP). GMP describes the minimum standard that a medicines manufacturer must meet in their production processes. GMP requires that medicines are of consistent high quality, are appropriate for their intended use and meet the requirements of the marketing authorisation or clinical trial authorisation.

# Q12 What is your opinion of the following measures to ensure manufacturing and distribution of high quality products?

	Very adequate	Adequate	Neutral	Less adequate	Not adequate	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strengthen manufacturing and oversight rules.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Adapt manufacturing rules to reflect new manufacturing methods.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Include selected environmental requirements for manufacturing of medicines in line with the one health approach on antimicrobial resistance <sup>13</sup> .						
[13] The one-health approach is a holistic and multi-sectorial approach to addressing antimicrobial resistance since antimicrobials used to treat infectious diseases in		•	0	•	•	0

animals may be the same or be similar to those used in humans.						
5. Increase Member State cooperation and surveillance of the supply chain in the EU and third countries.	•	0	•	0	0	0
6. Strengthen and clarify responsibilities of business operators over the entire supply chain on sharing information on quality, safety and efficacy.	•	•	•	•	©	•
7. Other (please specify).	0	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) max	ximum		

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES**

While access to pharmaceuticals is a priority, it is also important that the environmental impacts of those pharmaceuticals are as low as possible. The environmental risk assessments (ERAs) is currently not taken into account in the overall benefit/risk analysis which influences the delivery of a marketing authorisation (MA) of a medicine. ERA can influence risk management measures. Yet, ERA results are not decisive in the MA process.

# Q13 How would you assess the following measures to ensure that the environmental challenges emerging from human medicines are addressed?

	Very important	Important	Fairly important	Slightly important	Not important	Don' t know
Maintain the current rules.	0	0	0	0	•	0
2. Strengthen the environmental risk assessment during authorisation of a medicine, including risk mitigation measures, where appropriate.	0	•	0	0	0	0
3. Harmonize environmental risk assessment by national regulators, including risk mitigation measures.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Increase information to the health care professionals and the general public about the assessment of environmental risks of medicines.	•	0	0	0	0	0
5. Allow companies to use existing data about environmental risks for authorisations of a new medicine to avoid duplicating tests.	0	•	0	0	0	0
6. Other (please specify).	0	0	0	0	0	0

800 character(s) maximum

In addition to the measures proposed, at national level there are opportunities to reducing pharmaceutical waste caused by leftover medicines by ensuring that systems are in place to encourage the dispensing of quantities of certain risk medicines matching the duration of treatment as much as possible - for example by optimising the package sizes – and to collect leftover or expired medicines.

Appropriate funding of pharmacy-led disposal and collection schemes for medicines and used sharps, where implemented, should therefore also be ensured as an easily accessible channel for the public to correctly dispose of their leftover or expired medicines and used sharps.

## Q14 Is there anything else you would like to add that has not been covered in this consultation?

900 character(s) maximum

The promotion of the rational and responsible use of medicines should be at the core of any policy aiming to enhance the affordability of medicines for health systems, to reduce the negative impact of pharmaceuticals in the environment and to combat AMR. This can be implemented by appropriately remunerating cost-effective healthcare services which show to improve therapy outcomes and adherence and minimise the risks related to using medicines. While we understand this would fall outside the scope of the revision of the EU legislation, we would like to stress that expanding and rewarding the role of community pharmacy and strengthening primary care systems are therefore key policy levers to lead the way towards more sustainable health care systems. In addition, we strongly support that the revision would confirm the nature of the legislation in the form of a Directive.

# Q15 In case you would like to share a document that substantiates your replies, please upload it below (optional).

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

656e8354-4063-438a-9de0-69344677e76b

/211220E\_PGEU\_Position\_Paper\_on\_the\_revision\_of\_the\_general\_pharmaceutical\_legislation.pdf

### **Contact**

EU-PHARMACEUTICAL-STRATEGY@EC.EUROPA.EU